FIRST RECORD OF THE FAMILY TARSOCHEYLIDAE FROM IRAN WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF A NEW SPECIES (ACARI: TROMBIDIFORMES)

M. R. Amin¹, M. Khanjani^{*1} and E. A. Ueckermann^{2,3}

¹ Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran; e-mails: mkhanjani@gmail.com; amin13681@yahoo.com

² ARC-Plant Protection Research Institute, Private Bag X134, Queenswood, Pretoria, 0121 South Africa ³ School of Environmental Sciences and Development, North-West University, Potchefstroom Campus

2520, South Africa; e-mail: UeckermannE@arc.agric.za

ABSTRACT: A new species of the genus *Hoplocheylus* Berlese, *H. fereshtae* sp. nov. is described and figured based on females collected from soil under *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. (Poaceae), Amirabad, Mahallat, Markazi province, Iran. This is the first record of this family from Iran.

KEY WORDS: Tarsocheylidae, Hoplocheylus, new species, predatory mites, Iran

INTRODUCTION

The family Tarsocheylidae (Acariformes: Heterostigmata) was erected by Atyeo and Baker (1964) based on two genera, Tarsocheylus Berlese, 1904 and Hoplochevlus Atyeo and Baker, 1964. Members of this family are soil dwellers and often turn up in pitfall traps or leaf litter extractions. They have also been collected from the tunnels of bark beetles, as well as from rotting wood (Lindquist 1976). Family Tarsocheylidae differs from other families belonging to the cohort Heterostigmatina (Atyeo and Baker 1964) by having the reduced palpal tarsus, the devided leg femora, the absence of the genital papillae, a pair of capitated bothridial sensillae, tarsi II-IV with paired claws and a stalked empodium, tarsus I with paired claws and no empodium. The genus Tarsochevlus differs from Hoplochevlus by the papilliform palpal tarsus (vs indistinguishable in Hoplocheylus) and tarsus I with empodium (vs without empodium). Up to the present, eight species of the genus Hoplocheylus have been described: H. atomarius Berlese, 1913 (synonymy: H. canadensis Marshal, 1966 [Lindquist 1976]; H. aethiopcus Atyeo et Baker, 1964; H. jonnstoni Atyeo et Baker, 1964; H. logispinus Atyeo and Baker, 1964; H. pickardi Smiley and Moser, 1968 (synonymy: H. similiss Delfinado and Baker, 1974) [Lindquist 1976]; H. amricanus Delfinado and Baker, 1974; H. arnoidii Livshitz and Mitrofanov, 1973; and H. sogdianicus Barilo and Sharipov, 1987. In this study we described a new species of the genus Hoplocheylus from Iran.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens were collected from soil and litter under common reed, *Phragmites australis*

(Cav.) Trin. (Poaceae), Amirabad village, Mahallat vicinity, Markazi province which is located in the wester-center part of Iran. All mites were extracted by Berlese funnel and mounted on microscopic slides in Hoyer's medium. The specimens were examined under an Olympus BX51 differential interference contrast microscope (DIC). A Camera Lucida was used for illustrations.

Measurements: Body length is the distance between the base of the gnathosoma and the posterior end of the idiosoma; width was measured at the broadest part of the idiosoma, immediately anterior to legs III. Length of legs was measured from the proximal base of coxa to the tip of the pretarsus. The terminology, setal notations and leg setation follows that of Kethley (1990) and Lindquist (1976, 1987) extensively discussed the morpholoy and leg chaetotaxy of tarsocheylid mites. All measurements are given in micrometers (μ m).

Family Tarsocheylidae, Berlese, 1904 Genus *Hoplocheylus* Atyo and Baker, 1964

Type species: *Tarsocheylus atomarius* Berlese, 1913: 79

Diagnosis. The members of the genus *Hoplo-cheylus* can be recognized by the following combinations of characters (definitions are compatible with Lindquist, 1976): Propodorsal shield with four pairs of setae, *vi, ve, sci, sce,* setae *sci* capitate sensillae; eyes absent. Hysterosoma with four longitudinal dorsocentral shields, setae *c*2 situated dorsolaterally, genital discs absent, ventral surface with distinct quadrate smooth shield between coxa II and III, leg I with subterminal claws and no empodium, legs II–IV with well-developed two claws and empodia, palp tarsus with two solenidia, palp coxa with seta (pcx).



Figs 1–2. Hoplocheylus fereshtae sp. nov. Female: 1 — dorsal view; 2 — ventral view.

Key to the genera and species of the Tarsocheylidae

(Updated from Atyeo and Baker 1964)

1. Palpal tarsus papilliform, legs I with empodium
Tarsocheylus T. paradoxus (Berlese, 1904)
— Palpal tarsus indistinguishable; legs I without empodium
2. All dorsal idiosomal setae long and extending
beyond anterior margin of next shield
- Some dorsal idiosomal setae short and not ex-
tending beyond anterior margin of next shield 3
3. Hysterosoma with 1 anteroventral plate 4

- Hysterosoma with 3 anteroventral plates
H. johnstoni (Atyeo and Baker, 1964)
4. Setae 4a and ag1 on smooth integument 5
— Setae 4a and ag1 on plates 6
5. Tibia IV with solenidion, setation of tarsi I–IV (solenidia in parentheses) $14(2)$ – $8(1)$ – $8-7$
- Tibia IV without solenidion, setation of tarsi
I-IV (solenidia in parentheses) 15(2)-7(1)-7-6
<i>H. fereshtae</i> sp. nov.
6. Trochanter I with 1 seta
- Trochanter I without seta H. sogdianicus
(Barilo and Sharipov, 1987)

M.R. Amin, M. Khanjani and E.A. Ueckermann

Locality	Italy	Congo	Canada	America	America	America		Tajikistan	Iran
Host	Hay humus	Under the elytra of <i>Pentalobus</i> barbatus (Coleoptera, Passalidae)	Rotting stump	Moss and rotten wood	Forest soil, litter and debris	Forest soil, litter and debris	Outer bark of <i>Pinus taeda</i> L.	Trunk tree	Soil under Phragmites australis
F. P. P.	ė	$5+1 \sigma(X)+2$ approximate	$5+1 \sigma(X)+2$ approximate	$6+1 \sigma(X)+2$ approximate	5+1σ(P)+2 approximate	5+1σ(P)+2 approximate	6+1 \color=4 approximate	$6+1\sigma(X)+2$ approximate	6+1σ(P)+2 approximate
Ta I-IV	14(2)-8(1)-8-7	14(2)-8(1)-8-7	14(2)-8(1)-8-7	14(2)-8(1)-8-7	13(2)-8(1)-8-7	14(2)-7(1)-8-7	15(2)-7(1)-5-5	15(2)-8(1)-8-6	15(2)-7(1)-7-6
Ti I–IV	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5(1)	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5(1)	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5(1)	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5(1)	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5(1)	6(2)-4(1)-4(1)-3	8(2)-5-6(1)-5(1)	6(2)-5(1)-5(1)-5
Ge I–IV	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5	4-3-3-2	5-4-4-5	5-4-4-5
F I–IV	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	5-3-2-2	4-3-2-2	4-3-2-2
Tr I–IV	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	1-1-2-1	0-1-2-1	1-1-2-1
Characters	H. atomarius	H. aethiopcus	H. jonnstoni	H. logispinus	H. pickardi	H. amricanus	H. arnoidii	H. sogdianicus	H. fereshtae sp. n.

Table 1. Chaetotaxy of legs segments, habitat and locality of known species of the genus *Hoplocheylus*

F. P. P. – Formulae of palptarsus, C. – Clavate solenidion, R. – Rodlike solenidion



Fig. 3. *Hoplocheylus fereshtae* sp. nov. Female: heterostigma; dorsal seta *sci*; chelicera and palp; subcapitulum.

Hoplocheylus fereshtae sp. nov.

Figs 1-8

Diagnosis. Tarsi III with 7 setae; tibiotarsus with 6 simple setae + one rod-like solenidion + 1 developed claw + 2 short and bluntly rounded, setae 4a and agl on smooth integument.

Female (holotype). Body 545 (505) long (measurements of paratype in parenthesis); 165 (138) wide; idiosoma 475 (435) long.

Dorsum (Figs. 1–3). Dorsum covered by 5 smooth dorso-central (propodonotal, 3 hysteronotal and 1 suranal) and 2 lateral shields bearing setae *c*2. Propodonotal shield bearing 4 pairs of setae (*vi, ve, sci, sce*), setae *sci* bulb-shaped and heterostigma capitates distally (Figs 1, 3). Shields C, D, E+F with 1 pair of setae each. Suranal shield (H) with 2 pairs of setae (h1-2). Peritremes located on shoulders of propodonotum (Fig. 3). All dorsal setae smooth (Fig. 1). Lengths of dorsal setae: vi 45 (37), ve 20 (19), sci 20 (22), sce 67 (65), c1 36 (33), c2 78 (70), d1 34, e1 35 (33), f1 65 (63), h1 64 (60), h2 55 (50); distances between dorsal setae as follows: vi-vi 26 (33), ve-ve 16 (19), *vi–ve* 10 (7), *sci–sci* 46 (42), *sce–sce* 41 (39), sci-sce 31 (33), vi-sci 12 (11), sce-c2 97 (84), sci-c1 106 (98), c1-c1 39 (37), c1-c2 41 (35), c2c2 124 (107), c1-d1 106 (88), d1-d1 33 (31), d1e1 72 (66), e1-e1 35 (33), e1-f1 50 (42), f1-f1 42 (42), f1-h1 40 (42), h1-h1 28, h1-h2 16 (14), f1h2 43 (43), h2-h2 60 (55), vi/vi-vi 1.73 (1.12), ve/ ve-ve 0.77 (0.58), c1/c1-c1 0.91 (0.91), d1/d1-d1 $1.03(1.08), e^{1/e_1}-e^{1}1(0.97), f^{1/f_1}-f^{1}1.55(1.49),$ h1/h1-h1 2.29 (2.14), h2/h2-h2 0.92 (0.91).

Venter (Fig. 2). Hystrosomal plate quadrated and smooth (between coxae II and III); coxal fields I-II and III-IV separated and surrounded by longitudinal striae, endopodal shield absent (Fig. 2). Length of ventral setae: *la* 16, *lb* 16, *lc* 41 (34), *2b* 36 (33), 2c 28 (26), 3a 23 (22), 3b 27 (23), 3c 29 (25), 4a 22, 4b 17 (16) and 4c 19 (15). Three pairs of aggenital setae (ag1-3) present; 4 pairs of genital setae (g1-4) present. Three pairs of pseudoanal setae (ps1-3) of which ps3 in ventral position and two others in dorsal position. Length of setae: agl 23 (21), ag2 30 (24), ag3 31 (27); ps1 45 (50), ps2 50 (48), ps3 25 (24) (Figs. 1-2). Distances between aggenital, genital and pseduanal setae: ag1-ag1 24 (22), ag2-ag2 63 (55), ag3-ag3 84 (66); ps1-ps1 36 (30), ps2-ps2 55 (41), ps3-ps3 74 (50).

Gnathosoma (Fig. 3). Length of gnathosoma 91 (90). All gnathosomal setae smooth. Palps 3-segmented (Fig. 3). Palp femorogenu with 2 setae, palp tibiotarsus with 6 simple setae, 1 developed terminal claw 9, 1 undulated and rod-like solenidion 4 (5), and 2 subterminal claws subequal in length, 3–4). Subcapitular setae m 23 (21) and n 34 (35). Distance between subcapitular setae: m-m 16 (14), n-n 36 (30); m-n 18.

Legs (Figs 4–7). Lengths of legs: I 265 (255); II 160 (145), III 160 (150); IV 225 (205). Setae of legs (solenidia in parenthesis): coxae 4–3–3–2; trochanters 1–1–2–1; femora 4–3–2–2; genua 5–4–4–5; tibiae $6(2\varphi)-5(1\varphi)-5(1\varphi)-5$; tarsi 15(2 ω)–7(1 ω)–7–6. Tarsus I with 2 subterminal claws and without empodium (Fig. 4) and legs II– IV with two terminal claws and T-shaped empodium (Figs 5–7). All setae on legs I–IV smooth. Lengths of solenidia: I ω 1 8(9), I ω 2 3, I κ 1 3(4), I κ 2 3, II φ 20, II ω 6, III κ 3(2).



Figs 4–7. Hoplocheylus fereshtae sp. nov. Female: 4 – leg I; 5 – leg II; 6 – leg III; 7 – leg IV.

Etymology. The new species is named in honor of Mrs. Fereshteh Issai, mother of the senior author.

Remarks. Hoplocheylus fereshtae sp. nov. is closest to *H. longispinus* Atyo et Baker, 1964 in having the dorsal shields reduced and most of dorsocentral setae shorter than the distances between their bases and bases of the next posterior setae. It differs from *H. longispinus* by setae *f1* reaching the level of seta *h1* and *h2* bases (vs. not reaching in *H. longispinus*), the lateral shields are shorter than median shield C (vs. subequal in length), the palp tibiotarsus id rod-like (vs. bluntly rounded), and setation of tarsi I–IV is $15(2\omega)-7(1\omega)-7-6$ (vs. $14(2\omega)-8(1\omega)-8-7$. *H. fereshtae* sp. nov. is also similar to *H. sogdianicus* (Barilo et Sharipov, 1987). In both these species, the palpal tarsus is very small, tarsi I are without empodia, some dorsal idiosomal setae are short and not extending beyond the anterior margin of the shield, only a single the antero-ventral plate is present on hysterosoma. It differs from *H. sogdianicus* by the presence of one seta on trochanter I (vs. without setae in *H. sogdianicus*), tibiae I–IV $6(2\varphi)-5(1\varphi)-5(1\varphi)-5$ (vs. 8(2)-5-6(1)-5(1), setation of tarsi II–III 7(1)–7 setae (vs. 8(1)-8), the palp tibiotarsus with rod-like solenidion (vs. clavate), setae 4a and ag1 inserted on the soft cuticle (vs. on shield).

Also chaetotaxy of legs segments of the known species are compared in Table 1.

Material examined. Holotype female and 1 female paratype from litters under *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. (Poaceae), IRAN: Markazi province, Mahallat vicinity, Amirabad village, 33°51′51″N, 50°29′51″E, 1665 m a.s.l., 24 July 2012, coll. M.R. Amin. The holotype is deposited at the Collection of Acarology Laboratory, University of Bu-Ali Sina, Hamadan, Iran; female paratype will be deposited in the National Collection of Arachnida, Plant Protection Research, Pretoria, South Africa.

REFERENCES

- Atyeo, W.T. and Baker, E.W. 1964. Tarsocheylidae, a new family of prostigmatic mites (Acarina). *Bull. University of Nebraska State museum*, 4: 243–256.
- Berlese, A. 1904. Acari nuovi. Manipulus III. *Redia*, 2: 10–32.
- Berlese, A. 1913. *Acarotheca Italica*, fasc.1–2. Manipulus Ricci, Firenze, 19 pp.

- Delfinado, M.D. and Baker, E.W. 1974. Terrestrial mites of New York (Acarina:Prostigmata), tarsocheylidae, paratydeidae, and pseudochylidae. *Journal of The New York Entomological Society*, 82: 202–211.
- Kethley, J. 1990. Acarina: Prostigmata (Actinedida). *In*: D.L. Dindal (Ed.). Soil Biology Guide. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 667–756 pp.
- Lindquist, E.E. 1976. Transfer of the Tarsocheylidae to the Heterostigmata, and reassignment of the Tarsonemina and Heterostigamta to lower hierarchic status in the Prostigamta (Acari). *Canadian Entomologist*, 108: 23–48.
- Lindquist, E.E. 1987. Observations on the larva and protonymph of tarsocheylid mites (Acari: Heterostigmata). *Agriculture Canada, biosystematics res. cent., Ottawa ON K1A 0C6, Canada,* 28: 137– 150.
- Livshitz, I.Z. and Mitrofanov, V.I. 1973. [A new species of the genus *Hoplochylus* (Tarsocheylidae, Acariformes)]. *Zoologischeskiy Zhurnal*, 52: 1576– 1577. [in Russian]
- Smiley, R.L. and Moser, J.C. 1968. New species of mites from pine (Acarina: Tarsocheylidae, Eupalopsellidae, Caligonellidae, Cryptognathidae, Raphignathidae, and Neophyllobiidae). *Proceedings of the entomological society of Washington*, 70: 307–317.