Morphometric characters in a taxonomic diagnosis of *Dolichopus pennatus* species group (Diptera: Dolichopodidae)

Морфометрические признаки в таксономической идентификации видов группы *Dolichopus pennatus* (Diptera: Dolichopodidae)

М.А. Chursina*, О.V. Selivanova** М.А. Чурсина*, О.В. Селиванова**

* Voronezh State Pedagogical University, Lenina Str. 86, Voronezh 394024 Russia. E-mail: chursina.1988@list.ru.
 *Воронежский государственный педагогический университет, ул. Ленина 86, Воронеж 394024 Россия.

** Voronezh State University, Universitetskaya Sq. 1, Voronezh 394036 Russia. E-mail: selivanova-o@list.ru.
**Воронежский государственный университет, пл. Университетская 1, Воронеж 394036 Россия.

Key words: Diptera, Dolichopodidae, *Dolichopus*, wing shape, legs morphometry, phylogeny. *Ключевые слова:* Diptera, Dolichopodidae, *Dolichopus*, форма крыла, морфометрия ног, филогения.

Abstract. Sexually dimorphic structures (ornamentation) on the legs are important diagnostic features for Dolichopus males, whereas females are often morphologically similar and therefore species diagnosis is difficult. To assess the relevance and feasibility of setting diagnostic characters for five sister species of the genus Dolichopus Latreille, 1796, namely D. argyrotarsis, D. lineatocornis, D. pennatus, D. popularis and D. subpennatus, an analysis of morphometric trait was used. Comparison of wing shape by methods of geometric morphometry, and comparison of leg segment lengths ratio were studied. Comparative analysis of morphometric characters with molecular data made it possible to study the phylogenetic signal of male leg ornamentation. It was shown for Dolichopus, that modifications of the middle legs of males occurred independently in different species. In addition, the evolutionary pattern in the formation of similar ornaments was also associated with changes in the morphometric features of the legs and wings. Based on wing and leg morphometry, new diagnostic characters were proposed for females of the studied species group.

Резюме. Признаки полового диморфизма, такие как модификация ног, являются важными диагностическими признаками самцов Dolichopus, в то время как самки видов часто сходны морфологически и их диагностика затруднена. Для оценки значимости различий между пятью сестринскими видами рода Dolichopus Latreille, 1796: D. argyrotarsis, D. lineatocornis, D. pennatus, D. popularis и D. subpennatus был использован анализ морфометрических признаков, включающий сравнение форм крыльев методами геометрической морфометрии, и соотношения длин члеников ног. Сравнительный анализ морфометрических показателей с молекулярными данными позволил изучить филогенетический сигнал модификаций ног самцов. Показано, что у видов Dolichopus модификации средних ног самцов возникали независимо несколько раз. Кроме того, эволюционный паттерн в формировании сходных украшений также был связан с изменением морфометрических признаков ног и крыльев. Самки D. lineatocornis, D. pennatus и D. subpennatus не могут быть разделены по форме крыла, а также слабо различаются по морфометрическим признакам ног.

Introduction

The genus Dolichopus Latreille, 1796 is characterized by extremely high species diversity including 650 species [Grichanov, 2021] and is considered as the largest genus of the Dolichopodidae family. Species of the genus are widely distributed and exhibit the greatest diversity in the Palaearctic region. Males often have characteristic ornaments such as flattened dorsoventrally or plumose segments of fore (D. plumitarsis Fallen, 1823), middle (D. pennatus Meigen, 1824) (Figs 1-4) or hind tarsi (D. remipes Wahlberg, 1839), thickened hind tibia (D. lepidus Staeger, 1842), less frequently, enlarged arista (D. jacutensis Stackelberg, 192). Modification of wing coloration characterizes, for instance, by males of D. remipes Wahlberg, 1839 have infuscated wings, and white spot at the apical part of wing is a diagnostic feature of males of D. apicalis Zetterstedt, 1849. Such interspecific variation suggests there has been significant evolutionary change in this trait, driven by sexual selection, but a robust phylogenetic hypothesis is required to study these changes. However, at the same time, females of the genus Dolichopus are difficult to diagnose using traditional taxonomic techniques and can often be discriminated only by leg color. However, as frequently noted, such characters are comparative, have low phylogenetic significance [Bernasconi et al., 2007b; Chursina, Grichanov, 2019], and their variation has never been examined in detail as a separate subject-matter in *Dolichopus* species.

The geometric morphometric techniques analyzing represent a promising approach for discriminant between morphologically similar taxa [Pepinelli et al., 2013]. In present study, we examined differences in the morphometric characters between five *Dolichopus* species from sister group *D. argyrotarsis* Wahlberg, 1850, *D. lineatocornis* Zetterstedt, 1843, *D. pennatus* Meigen, 1824, *D. popularis* Wiedemann, 1817 and *D. subpennatus* d'Assis Fonseca, 1976.

Despite of the fact, that Dolichopus species are widespread, the studied species are rare. They prefer moist biotopes such as floodplain meadows, river and stream banks, swamps, deciduous forests. D. argyrotarsis distributed in Europe, including the European part of Russia [Negrobov et al., 2013]. The main distinguishing character of this species male is enlarged and silver-coloured third, fourth and fifth segments of middle tarsi (Figs 1-4). The species have Euro-Caucasian or Euro-Siberian range, including the eastern boundaries in Yakutia in D. pennatus and Krasnoyarskii Krai in D. popularis. These two species are easily distinguished from other Dolichopus males by an enlarged segments of middle tarsi, but males of D. pennatus has enlarged and plumose second and third tarsal segments, whereas D. popularis has enlarged third and fought tarsal segments with silverywhite fifth segment (Figs 1–4).

D. pennatus and *D. subpennatus* are almost indistinguishable in most morphological characters using in the keys of *Dolichopus* species. *D. subpennatus* was assigned as a separate species from a series of *D. pennatus* specimens according to the structure of the male cilioratum (tibial organ) [D'assis-Fonseca, 1976].

Ornamented segments of the middle tarsi are presented in four of five species, while in D. lineatocornis males tarsi are simple. Females of these species are similar morphologically (cryptic), their distinctive features are of a comparative nature. Difficulties chiefly arise due to broad intraspecific morphological variation between females of the D. pennatus group, which can seriously confound their identification. Females of D. popularis are distinguished in the group by two apical bristles on hind femora and mainly yellow antennae, while the color of the antennae in other species ranges a lightly according to geographical distribution of population: postpedicel is always completely black, pedicel varies from dark with a narrow yellow base to dark on top and yellow on the inner and ventral sides. D. lineatocornis females differ from D. pennatus females by a slightly more yellow base of the first segment of the middle tarsi. D. argyrotarsis females are absent from the existing identification tables.

Differences between females of *D. pennatus* and *D. subpennatus* were presented in the species description and keys [D'assis-Fonseca, 1976, 1978]. *D. pennatus* share the following characters: metanotun share small spines, scutellum covered with more or less dense hairs. Hairs located only at the lower edge of the scutellum and bare metanotum are characterized for both sexes of *D. subpennatus*. However, the further morphological analysis of specimens from Urals and



Figs 1–4. Ornaments on the middle legs of *Dolichopus* male. 1 — D. argyrotarsis; 2 — D. pennatus; 3 — D. popularis; 4 — D. subpennatus.

Рис. 1—4. Модификации средних ног самцов видов Dolichopus. 1 — D. argyrotarsis; 2 — D. pennatus; 3 — D. popularis; 4 — D. subpennatus.

Western Siberia showed that the characters are variable and cannot be used in the species diagnosis of females [Selivanova et al., 2019]. In addition, the species can be distinguished by the shape of the apicoventral epandrial lobe [Selivanova et al., 2019]. The use of the listed characters requires experienced entomologists for correct identification or availability of collection material for comparison, therefore, the diagnosis of these species is most often carried out according to the modified middle legs of males.

Materials and Methods

Landmark-based geometric morphometric analysis and the methods of traditional morphometry were used to evaluate of the interspecific differences. Wing shape and legs morphometric characters variation was observed from 236 specimens of the D. pennatus group from Voronezh State University (Russia) insects collection: 22 females and 56 males of D. argyrotarsis, 12 females and 24 males of D. lineatocornis, 40 females and 42 males of D. pennatus, 18 females and 8 males of D. popularis, 8 males and 6 females of D. subpennatus. The wings and legs of each specimen was removed, transferred to a microscope slide and covered with the cover slip. Each wing was photographed at 20 magnification using a digital camera Levenhuk C NG attached to a stereomicroscope.

Geometric morphometric analysis of wing shape. To describe wing shape nine homologous Type 1 landmarks, placed in the vein junctions and vein terminations, were used (Fig. 5). Each landmark have been digitized using TpsDig-2.32 software [Rohlf, 2008].

Centroid size (square root of the sum of squared distance between each landmark and the wing centroid) was used as the measure of size for each wing. Procrustean coordinates obtained from landmark data



Fig. 5. Positions of the landmarks used for studying wing shape. (D. *argirotarsis*, male).

Рис. 5. Расположение реперных точек, использованных для изучения формы (D. argirotarsis, самец).

were used for further statistical analyses of wing shape. For this purpose, all wings were superimposed and differences in the position of the landmark points were then examined. The superimposition was done with the Procrustean technique (wings are scaled to a unit centered size, superimposed on an origin so that their mean x and y coordinates becomes (0, 0), the landmarks are finally rotated so that the distance between all the landmarks of all specimens and a consensus configuration becomes minimal) [Rohlf, 1999]. Then, analysis was carried out using the methods of multivariate statistical analysis in software MorpholJ [Klingenberg, 2011] µ Statistica for Windows (version 10).

Tests for significant differences among species were undertaken using a one-way ANOVA. Canonical variate analysis (CVA) was used to determine the most important characters as a possible discriminator between species and evaluate species relative distribution in shape space. To quantify the differences between the wing shapes of the species, the Procrustean distance was calculated [Zelditch, Swiderski, 2004]. The significance of the differences was tested using a permutation test.

Morphometric analysis of legs. Measurements were made by the photos of the specimens using the ImageJ software (1.53b) [Schindelin et al., 2015]. Nine morphometric characters of the legs were measured: the lengths of the fore-, mid-, and hind femora (F1, F2, and F3), the fore-, mid-, and hind tibia (T1, T2, and T3), and the first segment of the fore-, mid-, and hind-tarsi (tar1, tar2, and tar3). Then the following twelve relative signs were calculated: the ratio of the lengths F1 to T1, F1 to tar1, F1 to F2, F1 to F3, T1 to tar1, T1 to T2, T1 to T3, F2 to T2, F2 to tar2, T2 to tar2, F3 to T3, and F3 to tar3.

Test for significant differences among species were undertaken using an analysis of variance (ANOVA). To examine relationship among species based on legs morphometric characters, unweighted pair group method with arithmetic average (UPGMA) was performed using PAST 3.09 software [Hammer et al., 2001].

Molecular data analysis. The analyzed molecular matrix included molecular sequences of the mitochondrial gene encoding cytochrome c oxidase

(COI) (810 characters). The study included both sequences previously deposited in GenBank [GenBank, 2021], and sequences carried out especially for this study by the Sintol Enterprise (Russia). In total molecular sequences of 25 species were studied. Amplification and sequencing were performed using the methods and primers described in previous studies [Bernasconi et al., 2007a, b].

Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the maximum likelihood method in MEGA software [Kumar et al., 2018]. The significance of the inner branching pattern was estimated by a bootstrap analysis with 1000 pseudo-replicates. As a measure of phylogenetic signal of legs morphometric characters, we used Pagel's lambda (λ) [Pagel, 1999] and Blomberg K-statistic [Freckleton et al., 2002]. A Pagel's lambda is an indicator that can take a value from zero to one, and a value close to one indicates a more significant phylogenetic signal in character. To calculate Pagel's lambda, the *phylosyg* function *phytools* package [Revell, 2012] was used in R environment [R Development Core Team, 2014]. Blomberg K-statistic also takes values from zero to one, but if the phylogenetic signal is very high, then Kstatistic can rise over one. To calculate Blomberg Kstatistic, the Kkalk function picante package was used in R environment [Kembel et al., 2010]. For testing purpose the indications of differences of the metric from 0, a p-value was obtained by randomizing the trait data 999 times.

Results

Since the sexual dimorphism was shown to be valuable in the morphometric characters of the wings legs [Chursina, Negrobov, 2018a, b], males and females were analyzed separately. Results of ANOVA exhibit highly significant differences among males of the species both in wing centroid size: F = 5.86, P = 0.0001, and in wing shape: Wilks' lambda = 0.027; F = 27.0; df = 56, 974.62; P < 0.0001. Procrustes distances between average wing shapes for males also were significant (P < 0.0001). The greatest distance 0.0687 and 0.0620 were found, respectively, between *D. argyrotarsis–D. popularis* and *D. lineatocornis–D. popularis*, the smallest distance 0.0138 — between *D. argyrotarsis* and *D. subpennatus* (Table 1).

The first canonical variate (CV1) explain 64.10 % of the overall wing shape variation. The main differences in wing shape described by CV1 occur in the position of the posterior transverse vein (*dm-m*) and the apical segment of M_4 and the shape of the wing apex (Fig. 6). The first axis described a separation *D. popularis* from *D. pennatus* and the group of species including *D. argyrotarsis*, *D. lineatocornis*, *D. subpennatus*. The second canonical variate (CV2) included 21.78 % of the total shape dispersion. CV2 clearly separate specimens of *D. popularis*. It should be noted that males of *D. argyrotarsis*,



Fig. 6. Scatter plot from CVA showing scores of the first two CVs for male of the five species of *Dolichopus* with shape changing schemes.

Рис. 6. Диаграмма CVA, демонстрирующая оценки первых двух CV для самцов пяти видов Dolichopus со схемами изменения формы.

D. lineatocornis and *D. subpennatus* are weakly differentiated by wing shape.

We observed a high significant differences between females in both centroid wing size: F =37.8, P < 0.0001, and wing shape: Wilks' lambda = 0.0506; F = 13.8; df = 56, 671; P < 0.0001. The first canonical variate (CV1) included 48.62 % of the total wing shape variation. The main differences in the female wing shape described by CV1, as in males, concerned changes in the area of Landmarks 5 and 7 (the locations of *dm-m* and the apical part of M_4) (Fig. 6). Females of *D. popularis* are reliably distinguished along the CV1 axis. An important role in the separation of species was also played by the second canonical variable (CV2 = 40.51 %), which described the proximal displacement of the *dm-m* and reliably separated *D. argyrotarsis* females from females of four other species. Females of

 Table 1. Procrustes distances between average wing shape for males (over the main diagonal) and females (under the main diagonal) of five *Dolichopus* species

Таблица 1. Прокрустовы расстояния между средними формами крыльев самцов (над главной диагональю) и самок (под главной диагональю) пяти видов *Dolichopus*

	D. argyrotarsis	D. lineatocornis	D. pennatus	D. popularis	D. subpennatus
D. argyrotarsis		0.0209 P < 0.0001	0.0129 P < 0.0001	0.0200 P = 0.005	0.0163 P = 0.002
D. lineatocornis	0.0172 P < 0.0001		0.0141 P = 0.0001	0.0212 P = 0.036	0.0116 P = 0.22
D. pennatus	0.0348 P < 0.0001	0.0316 P < 0.0001		0.0195 P = 0.0006	0.0099 P = 0.41
D. popularis	0.0687 P < 0.0001	0.0620 P < 0.0001	0.0387 P = 0.0005		0.0189 P = 0.37
D. subpennatus	0.0138 P = 0.013	0.0232 P < 0.0001	0.0288 P < 0.0001	0.0612 P < 0.0001	

• D. argyrotarsis

▼ D. lineaticornis ➤ D. pennatus

D. popularis
D. subpennatus

0

D. lineatocornis, *D. pennatus* and *D. subpennatus* in wing shape cannot be differed.

The main differences in the shape of the wing between species in females concerned the same landmarks as in males, which is confirmed by the low value of the angle between the first (36.0° , P = 0.0003) and the second (45.1° , P = 0.003) main principle components.

The ANOVA of morphometric leg characters also showed significant differences between males: Wilks' lambda = 0.168; F = 5.0; df = 48, 433; P < 0.0001, and females: Wilks' lambda = 0.192; F = 3.0; df = 48, 287; P < 0.0001.

The UPGMA dendrogram analysis (Fig. 8) revealed that males of the studied species are more similar to each other than to females of their species. The leg morphometric characters of

5

4

2

0

D. argyrotarsis male with modified middle tarsi were more similar to those of *D. lineatocornis* males, rather than species that also have legs ornaments. According to the leg morphometric characteristics, the males of *D. popularis*, *D. pennatus*, and *D. subpennatus* with high bootstrap support were combined into one group.

The main differences in the legs morphometric characters between males lie in the relative length of the first segment of middle legs (tar2). Males of *D. pennatus*, *D. subpennatus* and *D. popularis* have significantly longer tar2 than males of *D. argyrotarsis* and *D. lineatocornis*, which is most evident in the F2/tar2 ratio (P < 0.0001): in *D. popularis* males this ratio is 1.54, *D. subpennatus* — 1.55, *D. pennatus* — 1.56, *D. argyrotarsis* and *D. lineatocornis* = 1.71. When comparing the morphometric features of the



schemes. Рис. 7. Диаграмма CVA, демонстрирующая оценки первых двух CV для самок пяти видов *Dolichopus* со схемами изменения формы.

 Table 2. Discovered characters of leg morphometry for male (over the main diagonal) and female (under the main diagonal) of five *Dolichopus* species

Таблица 2. Выделенные признаки морфометрии ног самцов (над главной диагональю) и самок (под главной диагональю) пяти видов *Dolichopus*

	D. argyrotarsis	D. lineatocornis	D. pennatus	D. popularis	D. subpennatus
D. argyrotarsis		T1/T3 P = 0.001	F2/tar2 P < 0.0001	F2/tar2 P < 0.0001	F2/tar2 P < 0.0001
D. lineatocornis	F1/F3 P = 0.002		F2/tar2 P < 0.0001	F2/tar2 P < 0.0001	F2/tar2 P < 0.0001
D. pennatus	F2/T2 P = 0.03	F2/T2 P = 0.001		F1/F2 P = 0.0004	no differences
D. popularis	F3/tar3 P = 0.01	F2/T2 P = 0.004	F3/tar3 P = 0.001		F1/F2 P = 0.001
D. subpennatus	F1/F3 P = 0.0004	F1/T3 P = 0.015	F2/tar2 P = 0.002	F1/F2 P < 0.0001	

The values of the most significant differences are presented in text.

Значения наиболее достоверных различий представлены в тексте.

legs of *D. subpennatus* and *D. pennatus*, no significant differences were found.

Differences in legs morphometry in females were less statistically significant. *D. argyrotarsis* females differed from females of the other four species in the shortened first segment of the hind legs, which is reflected in the ratio F3/tar3 (P < 0.01): in *D. popularis* females this ratio is 2.09, in *D. pennatus*, *D. subpennatus* and *D. lineatocornis* females is 2.11 and in *D. argyrotarsis* females — 2.27.

Significant differences between *D. subpennatus* and *D. pennatus* females were showef by the F2/tar2 ratio (P = 0.002), which was 1.81 and 2.07, respectively, that is, *D. subpennatus* females had a relatively shorter first segment of the middle legs. Other discovered characters are presented in table 2.

Analysis of molecular data showed that, despite of morphological similarity, not all of these species are

forming one phylogenetic clade (Fig. 9). For instance, both species D. pennatus and D. subpennatus (bootstrap support value was 86) have modified middle legs; both species D. popularis and D. urbanus (99) have modified middle legs. Whereas in the pair of such species as *D. argyrotarsis* and *D. campestris* (54) male D. campestris is characterized by simple legs, but male D. argyrotarsis is characterized by ornamented middle tarsi. In the pair of such species as D. lineatocornis and D. migrans (53) the middle tarsi are ornamented in the first species, and the fore tarsi are ornamented in the second species. According to the calculated parameters, ornamented fore legs have significant phylogenetic signal. Pagel's lambda was 0.99, P < 0.00001 and Blomberg's K was 1.098. This suggests that the enlargement of the forelegs (D. simius, D. plumitarsis, D. claviger) or the presence of erect hairs on fore tarsi (D. cilifemoratus,



Fig. 8. Results of UPGMA cluster analysis of legs morphometric characters of the five *Dolichopus* species. Рис. 8. Результаты кластерного анализа морфометрических признаков ног пяти видов *Dolichopus* методом UPGMA.



Fig. 9. Maximum likelihood tree, obtained from COI sequence of 26 *Dolichopus* species with illustrations of ornaments of the fore (tar1) and middle (tar2) legs of males.

Рис. 9. Дерево, построенное методом максимального правдоподобия по последовательности СОІ гена 26 видов *Dolichopus* с иллюстрациями модификаций передних (tar1) и средних (tar2) ног самцов.

D. festivus, *D. trivialis*) occurred in phylogenetically close species of *Dolichopus*.

At the same time, in groups with high bootstrap support, a species with ornamented legs and a species with simple legs were combined together: for example, *D. plumipes* and *D. simplex* (bootstrap support value was 99), *D. apicalis* and *D. brevipennis* (99). For such character as the ornamented middle legs, Pagel's Lambda was 0.48, P = 0.27, Blomberg's K is 0.202, that is, the phylogenetic signal of the character is absent in the analyzed species group. Thus, parallel evolution in ornamented middle legs is quite likely.

Discussion

All five studied species together with the very rare *D. signatus* Meigen, 1824, which was not considered in this study, according to identification key of A.A. Stackelberg [1933] were included in the

Eudolichopus group, distinguished as a subgenus; that the subgenus status was canceled [Steyskal, 1973]. Further, for the convenience of using the identification key, only conditional group III was distinguished characterized by yellow legs and yellow postocular cilia in the lower part of the head. This group includes two-thirds of the *Dolichopus* species with varying degrees of similarity in a number of other characters.

The *«pennatus»* species group has a number of common morphological characters. The following characters are common to the five species: the presence of only one anterodorsal seta on the middle tibia, the absence of erect hairs, one preapical seta on the middle and hind femora, the absence of a dorsal seta on the first segment of the middle legs, 2–3 dorsal setae on the first segment of the hind tarsi. The following color features also are characteristic of these species: yellow scape and black pedicel and postpedicel, calypter yellowish with black squamal

cilia; thorax and abdomen are metallic green and yellow fore coxae and dark middle and hind coxae. The characteristic characters for this species group is a smoothly curved medial vein and a pronounced anal wing lobe.

Such feature of sexual dimorphism as ornamented legs is often seen in the Dolichopodidae family. According to published data, males use ornamented legs in courtship rituals [Sivinski, 1997]. Similar patterns of sexual behavior could arise independently in different taxonomic groups and lead to the formation of a similar combination of morphological characters [Bonduriansky, 2006; Chursina, 2019]. It is critical to examine such characters is important for construction of robust phylogenetic hypothesis, since in the case of convergent evolution, such structures do not reflect the phylogenetic closeness of species.

According to the data obtained as a result of comparative analysis of morphological and molecular characters, a sufficiently high phylogenetic signal in *Dolichopus* species is present in the ornaments of fore leg, while the phylogenetic signal of the middle leg ornaments is low. In other words, modifications of the middle legs occur in not phylogenetic closely species and in most cases may indicate homoplasy.

Analysis of the wings and legs morphometric characters demonstrated that in the studied species, similar leg ornaments were associated with the formation of a certain habitus: *D. pennatus*, *D. popularis* and *D. subpennatus* males in addition to the extended last segments of the middle tarsus, were also characterized by an elongated first segment of the same tarsi, a shortened wing with a proximally displaced *dm-m*.

This study is the first to quantify subtle wing and leg morphometric traits among species of the «pennatus» species group. Although the morphology of male legs has been widely used in identification of the species, diagnostics of females was difficult. However, based on wing shape and legs morphometric characters presented here we found significant differences between some of the studied species. D. pennatus and D. subpennatus females can be discriminating with female of D. popularis and D. argyrotarsis using wing shape analysis, although no significant differences were found with D. lineatocornis. It is proposed to distinguish D. argyrotarsis females from D. pennatus and D. subpennatus by the shorter first segment of the middle tarsi.

Acknowledgements

The work was funded by RFBR and NSFC according to the research project No 20-54-53005.

References

Bernasconi M.V., Pollet M., Ward P.I. 2007a. Molecular systematics of Dolichopodidae (Diptera) inferred from COI and 12S rDNA gene sequences based on European exemplars // Invertebrate Systematics. Vol.21. No.5. P.453–470. https:// doi.org/10.1071/IS06043.

- Bernasconi M.V., Pollet M., Varini-Ooijen M., Ward P.I. 2007b. Phylogeny of European *Dolichopus* and *Gymnopternus* (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) and the significance of morphological characters inferred from molecular data // European Journal of Entomology. Vol.104. No.3. P.601–617. https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2007.075.
- Bonduriansky R. 2006. Convergent evolution of sexual dimorphism in Diptera // Journal of Morphology. Vol.267. P.602–611. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12100.
- Chursina M.A. 2019. Convergent evolution of sexual dimorphism in species of the family Dolichopodidae (Diptera) // Biodiversitas. Vol.20. No.9. P.2480–2485. https://doi.org/10.13057/ biodiv/d200908.
- Chursina M.A., Grichanov I.Ya. 2019. Analysis of the differences between Syntormon pallipes and S. pseudospicatus (Diptera: Dolichopodidae): morphological and molecular data // Zoosystematica Rossica. Vol.28. No.2. P.305–316. https://doi.org/ 10.31610/zsr/2019.28.2.305.
- Chursina M.A., Negrobov O.P. 2018a. Legs morphometric characters of the *Dolichopus* Latreille, 1796 species (Diptera, Dolichopodidae) // Samarskij nauchnyj vestnik. Vol.9 No.1. P.106–112. https://doi.org/10.17816/snv202091117.
- Chursina M.A., Negrobov O.P. 2018b. Phylogenetic signal in the wing shape in the subfamily Dolichopodinae (Diptera, Dolichopodidae) // Entomological Review. Vol.98. No.5. P.515– 527. https://doi.org/10.7868/S0044513418060041.
- D'Assis Fonseca E.C.M. 1976. Four new species of Palaearctic Dolichopodidae (Diptera) including two from Britain // Entomologist's Monthly Magazine. Vol.111. P.23–27.
- D'Assis Fonseca E.C.M. 1978. Diptera Orthorrhapha Brachycera Dolichopodidae // Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects. Vol.9. No.5. P.1–90.
- Freckleton R.P., Harvey P.H., Pagel M. 2002. Phylogenetic analysis and comparative data: a test and review of evidence // American Naturalist. Vol.160. No.6. P.712–726.
- Grichanov I.Ya. 2021. Alphabetic list of generic and specific names of predatory flies of the epifamily Dolichopodoidae (Diptera). (Online version). Saint Petersburg: All-Russian Research Institute of Plant Protection. Available from: 5http://grichanov.aiq.ru/ genera3.htm/ (Accessed 1 Apr. 2021).
- Hammer Ø., Harper D.A.T., Ryan P.D. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis // Palaeontologia Electronica. Vol.4. P.1–9.
- Kembel S.W., Cowan P.D., Helmus M.R., Cornwell W.K., Morlon H., Ackerly D.D., Blomberg S.P., Webb C.O. 2010. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology // Bioinformatics. Vol.26. No. 11. P.1463–1464. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/ btg166.
- Klingenberg C.P. 2011. MorphoJ: an integrated software package for geometric morphometrics // Moleculae Ecology Resources. Vol.11. No.2. P.353–357. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010. 02924.x.
- Klingenberg C.P., McIntyre G.S. 1998. Geometric morphometrics of developmental instability: analyzing patterns of fluctuating asymmetry with Procrustes methods // Evolution. Vol.52. No.5. P.1363–1375. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646. 1998. tb02018.x.
- Kumar S., Stecher G., Li M., Knyaz C., Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms // Molecular Biology and Evolution. Vol.35. No.6. P.1547–1549. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- Negrobov O.P., Selivanova O.V., Maslova O.O., Chursina M.A. 2013. Check-list of predatory flies of the family Dolichopodidae (Diptera) in the fauna of Russia // Grichanov I.Ya., Negrobov O.P. (Eds): Plant Protection News. Supplement. St.Petersburg: VIZR RAAS. P.47–93.
- Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution // Nature. Vol.401. No.6756. P.677–884. https:// doi.org/10.1038/44766
- Pepinelli M., Spironello M., Currie D.C. 2013. Geometric morphometrics as a tool for interpreting evolutionary

transitions in the black fly wing (Diptera: Simuliidae) // Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society. Vol.169. No.2. P.377-388. https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12065

- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing // R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org.
- Revell L.J. 2012. Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things) // Methods in Ecology and Evolution. Vol.3. No.2. P.217–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x.
- Rohlf F.J. 1999. Shape statistics: Procrustes superimpositions and tangent space // Journal of Classification. Vol.16. No.2. P.197– 223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003579900054.
- Rohlf F.J. 2008. tpsDig, digitize landmarks and outlines. Stony Brook, NY: Department of Ecology and Evolution, State University of New York. [online]. Avaliable from: http:// life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/ [update 31 January 2018].
- Schindelin J., Rueden C.T., Hiner M.C., Eliceiri K.W. 2015. The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis // Molecular Reproduction and Development. Vol.82. Nos 7–8. P.518–529. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22489.
- Selivanova O.V., Negrobov O.P., Maslova O.O. 2019. [New data on taxonomy and fauna of *Dolichopus subpennatus* D'Assis Fonseca, 1976 and *Dolichopus pennatus* Meigen, 1824 (Dolichopodidae, Diptera)] // Acta Biologica Sibirica. Vol.5.

No.2. P.111–114. doi: https://doi.org/10.14258/abs.v5.i2.6193. [In Russian].

- Simon C., Frati F., Beckenbach A., Crespi B., Liu H., Flook P. 1994. Evolution, weighting, and phylogenetic utility of mitochondrial gene and a complication of conserved polymerase chain reaction primers // Annals of the Entomological Society of America. Vol.87. No.6. P.651–701.https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/87.6.651.
- Simmons R.B., Weller S.J. 2001. Utility and evolution of cytochrome b in insects // Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. Vol.20. No.2. P.196–210. https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.2001.0958.
- Sivinski J. 1997. Ornaments in the Diptera // Florida Entomologist. Vol.80. P.142–164.
- Stackelberg A.A. 1933. [The key of flies of the European part of the USSR]. Leningrad: Izd-vo Academy of Sciences of the USSR. P.120–125. [In Russian].
- Steyskal G.C. 1973. The North American Species of *Dolichopus* Latreille, Group B (Diptera, Dolichopodidae) // Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society. Vol.46. P.347–359.
- Tamura K., Stecher G., Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 11 // Molecular Biology and Evolution. Vol.38. No.6. P.3022–3027. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120.
- Zelditch M.L., Swiderski D.L. 2004. Geometric Morphometrics for Biologists: A Primer. London: Elsevier Academic Press. 437 p. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012778460-1/50007-7.

Поступила в редакцию 13.11.2022